

Template to report changes in the syllabus

(Must be filled in by the subject teacher in consultation with the avdelingsleder/programansvarlig)

<p>The change applies:</p> <p>Specify which department, subject/course and the number of credits.</p>	<p>JUR-3054 General Law of the Sea II – 15 ects</p> <p>LLM</p>
<p>Description of change:</p> <p>Specify author, title/headline, year and number of pages.</p>	<p>Inclusion of Efthymios Papastavridis ‘Contemporary Challenges to International Peace and Security: International Terrorism and the Proliferation of Weapons of Mass Destruction’ in Efthymios Papastavridis <i>The Interception of Vessels on the High Seas</i>, Hart Publishing Oxford, 2014 pp. 113-160 (48 pages) (available online), to replace Guilefoyle chapter.</p> <p>Inclusion of Snjólaug Árnadóttir, ‘Effects of Sea Level Rise on Agreements and Judgments Delimiting Maritime Boundaries’, in Thomas Heidar (ed) <i>New Knowledge and Changing Circumstances in the Law of the Sea</i>, Brill Nijhoff, 2020, pp. 382-406 (24 pages) (in compendium).</p> <p>Inclusion of Leonardo Bernard and Clive Schofield ‘Disputes Concerning the Delimitation of the Continental Shelf beyond 200 Nautical Miles’ in Thomas Heidar (ed) <i>New Knowledge and Changing Circumstances in the Law of the Sea</i>, Brill Nijhoff, 2020, pp. 157-182 (25 pages) (in compendium).</p> <p>Removal of N. Klein, <i>Dispute Settlement in the UN Convention on the Law of the Sea</i>, Cambridge University Press, 2005, section <i>Dispute settlement through procedures other than UNCLOS</i>, pp. 34-52, (18 pages) – older chapter with elements covered by other more current articles included in the syllabus.</p> <p>Removal of R.R. Bundy "Preparing for a Delimitation Case: The Practitioner’s View" in R. Lagoni and D. Vignes (eds) <i>Maritime Delimitation</i>, Martinus Nijhoff Publishers, 2006, pp. 95-119 (25</p>

	<p><u>pages), (available online) – older chapter that can be listed as optional reading in the context of the Moot Court.</u></p> <p><u>Removal of J.G. Merrills <i>International Dispute Settlement</i> (6th edn 2017): Chapter 7 The International Court II: the Work of the Court pp. 152-176 (24 pages), can be listed as an optional reading in the context of the Moot Court.</u></p> <p><u>Removal of T. Treves "The International Tribunal for the Law of the Sea: Applicable Law and Interpretation" in <i>The WTO at ten: the contribution of the dispute settlement system</i>, Cambridge University Press, 2006, pp. 490-500 (11 pages), (in compendium).</u></p> <p><u>Removal of T. McDorman "The Continental Shelf Regime in the Law of the Sea Convention: A Reflection on the First Thirty Years 27" (2012) <i>International Journal of Marine and Coastal Law</i>, pp. 743-751 (9 pages) (available online).</u></p>
<p>Explain why the syllabus should be changed:</p>	<p>Update the syllabus – substitute older publications – include new publications that are more relevant for the topics covered in the course.</p>
<p>Updated reading list:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Copy-paste a summary of the current syllabus in the subject/course and use “Track changes” to show which changes are done. 	<p><i>Dispute Settlement</i></p> <p>J.G. Merrills <i>International Dispute Settlement</i> (6th edn 2017): Chapter 7 The International Court II: the Work of the Court pp. 152-176 (24 pages). (available online).</p> <p>N. Klein, <i>Dispute Settlement in the UN Convention on the Law of the Sea</i>, Cambridge University Press, 2005, section Dispute settlement through procedures other than UNCLOS, pp. 34-52, (18 pages), (available online).</p>

B. Oxman “Courts and Tribunals: The ICJ, ITLOS, and Arbitral Tribunals”, in Donald R Rothwell et al. *The Oxford Handbook of the Law of the Sea*, Oxford University Press, 2015, pp. 394-415 (21 pages), (available online).

A.G. Oude Elferink "The Arctic Sunrise Incident: A Multi-faceted Law of the Sea Case with a Human Rights Dimension" 29 (2014) *International Journal of Marine and Coastal Law*, pp. 244-289, (46 pages), (available online).

~~T. Treves "The International Tribunal for the Law of the Sea: Applicable Law and Interpretation" in *The WTO at ten: the contribution of the dispute settlement system*, Cambridge University Press, 2006, pp. 490-500 (11 pages), (in compendium).~~

Øystein Jensen & Nigel Banks, “Compulsory and Binding Dispute Resolution under the United Nations Convention on the Law of the Sea: Introduction”, *Ocean Development & International Law*, Volume 48, Issue 3-4, 2017, pp. 209-215, (6 pages), (available online).

Robin Churchill, “The General Dispute Settlement System of the UN Convention on the Law of the Sea: Overview, Context, and Use”, *Ocean Development & International Law*, Volume 48, Issue 3-4, 2017, pp. 216-238, (22 pages), (available online).

James Harrison, “Defining Disputes and Characterizing Claims: Subject-Matter Jurisdiction in Law of the Sea Convention Litigation”, *Ocean Development & International Law*, Volume 48, Issue 3-4, 2017, pp. 269-283, (14 pages), (available online).

Nigel Banks, “Precluding the Applicability of Section 2 of Part XV of the Law of the Sea Convention”, *Ocean Development & International Law*, Volume 48, Issue 3-4, 2017, pp. 239-268, (29 pages), (available online).

Maritime Delimitation

Malcom D. Evans, “Maritime Boundary Delimitation”, in Donald R Rothwell et al. *The Oxford Handbook of the Law of the Sea*, Oxford University Press, 2015, pp. 254-279 (25 pages) (available online).

Lucie Delabie, “The Role of Equity, Equitable Principles, and the Equitable Solution in Maritime Delimitation”, in Alex G. Oude Elferink, Tore Henriksen and Signe Veierud Busch (eds), *Maritime*

Boundary Delimitation: The Case Law – Is it Consistent and Predictable?, Cambridge University Press, 2018, pp. 145-172 (27 pages) (available online).

Malcom Evans, “Relevant Circumstances”, in Alex G. Oude Elferink, Tore Henriksen and Signe Veierud Busch (eds), *Maritime Boundary Delimitation: The Case Law – Is it Consistent and Predictable?*, Cambridge University Press, 2018, pp. 222-261 (39 pages) (available online).

Yoshifumi Tanaka, “The Disproportionality Test in the Law of Maritime Delimitation”, in Alex G. Oude Elferink, Tore Henriksen and Signe Veierud Busch (eds), *Maritime Boundary Delimitation: The Case Law – Is it Consistent and Predictable?*, Cambridge University Press, 2018, pp. 291-318 (27 pages) (available online).

Signe Veierud Busch, “The Delimitation of the Continental Shelf Beyond 200nm: Procedural Issues” in Alex G. Oude Elferink, Tore Henriksen and Signe Veierud Busch (eds), *Maritime Boundary Delimitation: The Case Law – Is it Consistent and Predictable?*, Cambridge University Press, 2018, pp. 219-350 (31 pages) (available online).

Øystein Jensen, “The Delimitation of the Continental Shelf Beyond 200nm: Substantive Issues” in Alex G. Oude Elferink, Tore Henriksen and Signe Veierud Busch (eds), *Maritime Boundary Delimitation: The Case Law – Is it Consistent and Predictable?*, Cambridge University Press, 2018, pp. 351-375, (24 pages) (available online).

~~R.R. Bundy "Preparing for a Delimitation Case: The Practitioner's View" in R. Lagoni and D. Vignes (eds) *Maritime Delimitation*, Martinus Nijhoff Publishers, 2006, pp. 95-119 (25 pages), (available online).~~

A.G. Oude Elferink "North Sea continental shelf cases" Max Planck Encyclopedia of Public International Law, (updated September 2013) (8 pages) (available online).

D. Anderson "Maritime Delimitation in the Black Sea Case" (Romania v. Ukraine) 8 (2009) *The Law and Practice of International Courts and Tribunals* pp. 305-327 (23 pages) (available online).

A.G. Oude Elferink “ITLOS’s approach to the delimitation of the continental shelf beyond 200 nautical miles in the Bangladesh/Myanmar case: theoretical and practical difficulties” in: R. Wolfrum, M. Seršić and T. Šošić (eds) *Contemporary*

Developments in International Law; Essays in Honour of Budislav Vukas (Martinus Nijhoff Publishers), 2015, pp. 230-249 (20 pages), (in compendium).

A.G. Oude Elferink "Third States in maritime delimitation cases: too big a role, too small a role or both?" in: A. Chircop, T. McDorman, S. Rolston (eds) *The Future of Ocean Regime-building: Essays in Tribute to Douglas M. Johnston* (Martinus Nijhoff Publishers: 2009), pp. 611-641 (31 pages), (in compendium).

~~T. McDorman "The Continental Shelf Regime in the Law of the Sea Convention: A Reflection on the First Thirty Years 27" (2012) *International Journal of Marine and Coastal Law*, pp. 743-751 (9 pages) (available online).~~

A.G. Oude Elferink "Do the coastal states in the South China Sea have a continental shelf beyond 200 nautical miles?" in: S. Jayakumar, T. Koh and R. Beckman (eds.) *The South China Sea disputes and law of the sea*, Elgar, 2014, pp. 164-191, pp. 164-191, (28 pages) (available online).

M.C.W. Pinto "Article 76 of the UN Convention on the law of the sea and the Bay of Bengal Exception" 3 (2013) *Asian Journal of International Law*, pp. 215-235, (21 pages) (available online).

Leonardo Bernard and Clive Schofield 'Disputes Concerning the Delimitation of the Continental Shelf beyond 200 Nautical Miles' in Thomas Heidar (ed) *New Knowledge and Changing Circumstances in the Law of the Sea*, Brill Nijhoff, 2020, pp. 157-182 (25 pages) (in compendium).

Snjólaug Árnadóttir, 'Effects of Sea Level Rise on Agreements and Judgments Delimiting Maritime Boundaries', in Thomas Heidar (ed) *New Knowledge and Changing Circumstances in the Law of the Sea*, Brill Nijhoff, 2020, pp. 382-406 (24 pages) (in compendium).

Marine Scientific Research

Tim Stephens and Donald R. Rothwell "Marine Scientific Research", in Donald R Rothwell et al. *The Oxford Handbook of the Law of the Sea*, Oxford University Press, 2015, pp. 559-579 (20 pages) (available online).

T. Treves "Marine Scientific Research", Max Planck Encyclopedia of Public International Law, Section A (1 page), (available online).

S. Scott and L. Oriana "Whaling in the Antarctic (Australia v. Japan: New Zealand Intervening) Judgment of 31 March 2014: A Decisive Victory - but for Whom?", *International Journal of Marine and Coastal Law*, Volume 29, Issues 3, pp. 547-557, (11 pages), (available online).

A. Mateos and M. Gorina-Ysern "Climate Change and Guidelines for Argo Profiling Float Deployment on the High Seas", *ASIL Insight*, Vol. 14, Issue 8, 2010, (11 pages), (in compendium).

J. Kraska, G. Ortuño Crespo, D.W. Johnston "Bio-logging of marine migratory species in the law of the sea", *Marine Policy*, 51, 2015, pp. 394-400, (7 pages), (available online).

Richard J. McLaughlin "Bio-logging as marine scientific research under the law of the sea: A commentary responding to James Kraska, Guillermo Ortuño Crespo, David W. Johnston, bio-logging of marine migratory species in the law of the sea", *Marine Policy*, 60, 2015, pp.178-181, (4 pages), (available online).

IOC, Report of the Forty-first Session of the Executive Council Paris, 24 June-1 July 2008; section 4.2.2, paras 169-185 and Resolution EC-XLI.4 with Annex (7 pages), (in compendium).

International and Maritime Security

N. Klein, *Maritime security and the law of the sea*, Oxford University Press 2011, pp. 88-117 and 122-141 (49 pages), (available online).

M. Frostad, United Nations Authorized Embargos and Maritime Interdiction: A Special Focus on Somalia, in G. Andreone (ed) *The Future of the Law of the Sea: Bridging Gaps Between National, Individual and Common Interests*, Springer Open, 2017, pp. 213-237, (25 pages), (available online).

W. Heintschel von Heinegg, The law of armed conflict at sea, in D. Fleck (ed.); *Handbook of international humanitarian law*, 3rd ed. (Oxford University Press, 2013) (pp. 463-501) (39 pages) (available online).

Anna Petrig, "Piracy" in Donald R Rothwell et al. *The Oxford Handbook of the Law of the Sea*, Oxford University Press, 2015, pp. 843-865 (22 pages) (available online).

	<p>James Kraska, "Military Operations" in Donald R Rothwell et al. <i>The Oxford Handbook of the Law of the Sea</i>, Oxford University Press, 2015, pp. 866-887 (21 pages) (available online).</p> <p><u>Efthymios Papastavridis 'Contemporary Challenges to International Peace and Security: International Terrorism and the Proliferation of Weapons of Mass Destruction' in Efthymios Papastavridis <i>The Interception of Vessels on the High Seas</i>, Hart Publishing Oxford, 2014 pp. 113-160 (48 pages) (available online).</u> <u>D. Guilefoyle, <i>Shipping interdiction and the law of the sea</i>, Cambridge University Press 2009, pp. 232-262 (31 pages), (available online).</u></p>
<p>The total amount of pages in the course/subject before and after the change of syllabus.</p>	<p>Before change: 810 pages</p> <p>After change: 820 pages</p>
<p>Specified explanation if the suggestion differ from the current syllabus norms (cf. syllabus norms specified on the last page):</p>	
<p>Is a gender perspective included in the suggested syllabus, and/or is it gender balance between the authors of the syllabus in the current subject/course?</p>	
<p>Any other remarks:</p>	
<p>Overall assessment from avdelingsleder/programansvarlig:</p> <p>Is the suggested change in the syllabus supported by the avdelingsleder/programansvarlig?</p> <p>If the proponent is avdelingsleder/programansvarlig: Do the teachers support the change in the syllabus?</p>	<p><u>Yes, changes made by avdelingsleder on the suggestion of the teachers of the course, and on own suggestions of the avdelingsleder.</u></p>

	<p>The syllabus change also necessitates a change in the subject/course description and/or the learning outcome descriptions:</p> <p>Yes <input type="radio"/> No <input checked="" type="radio"/></p>
<p>The administration:</p> <p>If the description of the suggested change in the syllabus is not in accordance with Leganto, the avdelingsleder/programansvarlig must be contacted and correct the suggested change.</p>	<p>The suggested change is in accordance with Leganto and is waiting for approval/ put on hold in Leganto.</p> <p>Yes <input type="radio"/> No <input type="radio"/></p> <p>The suggested change of the syllabus is approved by SU, and is published in Leganto</p> <p>Yes <input type="radio"/> No <input type="radio"/></p> <p>Any changes to the suggestion made by SU shall be mentioned here:</p>

- **Syllabus norms – valid from 2020/2021**
(Norms adopted by Studieutvalget in case SU 62/19 on the 22.11.2019, and in case SU 8/20 on the 31. 01.2020)

Study program /level	Number of pages per credit
Master of Laws, 1. - 3. department	30-40
Master of Laws, 4. department	40-45
Master of Laws, 5. department	45-55
Master of Laws in the Law of the Sea	45-55
Nordic Master's Degree Programme in Environmental Law	55-65

- **Qualitative guidelines:**

Sources of law such as the wording of an act, preparatory works, legal decisions, etc. shall not normally be included in the syllabus.

We use and do not distinguish between introduction literature-, primary literature, recommended literature, supplementary literature, etc.

- **Deadlines:**

Subjects/courses to be offered next autumn semester.

A case regarding changes in the syllabus must be sent to the administration no later than 14 days before the last SU-meeting before the Leganto-deadline

1. June: UiTs deadline in Leganto

Subjects/courses to be offered next spring semester.

A case regarding changes in the syllabus must be sent to the administration no later than 14 days before the last SU-meeting before the Leganto-deadline

15. November: UiTs deadline in Leganto

Earlier deadlines apply if changes in the syllabus make it necessary to change the course description/ the learning outcome descriptions.

Changes in the subject/course description and/or the learning outcome descriptions must be approved by Studieutvalget no later than January for the upcoming autumn semester, and no later than August for the upcoming spring semester. This follows from the common deadlines at UiTs system “Emne på Nett” (EpN).

In order for Studieutvalget to have time to process the case regarding syllabus changes, the avdelingsleder / programansvarlig together with the administrative responsible for the department applies for the changes no later than 14 days before the first SU-meeting in the spring and fall.

- **Submission of template**

Please send the completed template to the person who is administratively responsible for the department.

Administratively responsible for the various departments:

Sunniva Alvestad Solheim (1st and 4th department): sunniva.s.alvestad@uit.no

Karin B. Eriksen (2nd and 3rd department): karin.b.eriksen@uit.no

Thorbjørg Hroarsdottir (5th department): thorbjorg.hroarsdottir@uit.no

Lene Emile Øye (LLM, NOMPEL, JUR-3621 and JUR-3624): lene.e.oye@uit.no